FILE COPY

il

2f

Office - Sections Charg U. S. SPECIALISTS

OCT 28 1940

PRANCES ELAPRE CHOM EV

No. 495

# In the Supreme Court of the United States

October Tunk, 1940

INCOPE T. RYGGON AND KOWAYA L. RYGGON, JR., 49.
EXECUTORS OF THE ESPATE OF MARY M. RYGGON,
PETTEDNESS.

THE UNITED STATE OF ADDRESS

ON PETITION FOR A WHAT OF CHATTORALL TO THE CHITHO STATES CHECKE GOVER OF APPRALS FOR THE SEVENTS CHECKET

MERORANDON WA THE TRUTOS STATES



## In the Supreme Court of the United States

### OCTOBER TERM, 1940

#### No. 495

JOSEPH T. RYERSON AND EDWARD L. RYERSON, JR., AS EXECUTORS OF THE ESTATE OF MARY M. RYERSON, PETITIONERS

v.

#### THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

#### MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

We do not oppose the granting of the petition for a writ of certiorari in this case.

The decision of the court below on the question presented is in conflict with Pelzer v. United States, 31 F. Supp. 770 (C. Cls.), certiorari granted, October 21, 1940, No. 393, present Term. It is also in conflict with Welch v. Davidson, 102 F. (2d) 100 (C. C. A. 1st); McBrier v. Commissioner, 108 F. (2d) 967 (C. C. A. 3d); Early v. Reid (C. C. A. 4th), decided August 7, 1940, not officially reported but found in 1940 C. C. H., Vol. 4, par.

(1)

9634; Hutchings v. Commissioner, 111 F. (2d) 229 (C. C. A. 5th), pending on petition for certiorari, No. 419, present Term; Rheinstrom v. Commissioner, 105 F. (2d) 642 (C. C. A. 8th); and Robertson v. Nee, 105 F. (2d) 651 (C. C. A. 8th).

In view of the conflict, we do not oppose the issuance of the writ.

Respectfully submitted.

FRANCIS BIDDLE,
Solicitor General.

**OCTOBER 1940.** 

